IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA GREENVILLE DIVISION

Potencia Anna Larsson,) C/A No.	6:21-cv-03907-SAL
)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
V.) ORDER	
)	
Kilolo Kijakazi, Acting Commissioner)	
of Social Security,)	
)	
Defendant.)	
	_)	

Plaintiff Potencia Anna Larsson ("Plaintiff") brought this action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 405(g), seeking judicial review of the final decision of the Commissioner of Social Security ("Commissioner") denying her claim for disability insurance benefits under Title II of the Social Security Act. [ECF No. 1.] In accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636 and Local Civil Rule (D.S.C.) 73.02(B)(2)(a), this matter was referred to United States Magistrate Judge Kevin F. McDonald for pre-trial handling. On July 29, 2022, the Magistrate Judge issued a Report and Recommendation ("Report"), recommending that the Commissioner's decision be reversed and remanded for further consideration. [ECF No. 17.] Attached to the Report is a Notice of Right to File Objections. *Id.* at 14. Neither party filed objections to the Report.¹

The Magistrate Judge makes only a recommendation to this court. The recommendation has no presumptive weight, and the responsibility to make a final determination remains with this court. *See Mathews v. Weber*, 423 U.S. 261, 270–71 (1976). The court is charged with making a *de novo* determination of only those portions of the Report that have been specifically objected to,

1

.

¹ The Commissioner filed a notice of intent not to file objections to the Report. [ECF No. 18.]

6:21-cv-03907-SAL Date Filed 08/29/22 Entry Number 20 Page 2 of 2

and the court may accept, reject, or modify the Report, in whole or in part. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).

In the absence of objections, the court is not required to provide an explanation for adopting the

Report and must "only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to

accept the recommendation." Diamond v. Colonial Life & Acc. Ins. Co., 416 F.3d 310, 315 (4th

Cir. 2005) (citing Fed. R. Civ. P. 72 advisory committee's note).

After a thorough review of the Report, the applicable law, and the record of this case in

accordance with the above standard, the court finds no clear error, adopts the Report, ECF No. 17,

and incorporates the Report by reference herein. Accordingly, the Commissioner's decision is

REVERSED pursuant to sentence four of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g), and this case is **REMANDED** to

Defendant for further consideration as set forth in the Report.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

/s/ Sherri A. Lydon United States District Judge

August 29, 2022 Columbia, South Carolina